

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/910,348 07/23/2001 Randall Lee Carter RD-27764 2297 6147 7590 02/11/2004 EXAMINER GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY KEEHAN, CHRISTOPHER M GLOBAL RESEARCH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 SCHENECTADY, NY 12301-0008 1712

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Γ	m
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/910,348	CARTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher M. Keehan	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropri	on. See MPEP opriate extension
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	originally set in the final	Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>			
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancelling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by ti	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
0. Other:		DAVID J. BUTTNER RIMARY EXAMINER	
	$\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}$	and Butter	

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112 first paragraph rejection of claims 26-29 and the 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 27-29.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the pending 103 rejections are as set forth in the previous office action.